UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MYRON HUBBARD,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 4:11-CV-2082-JAI
ST. LOUIS PSYCHIATRIC REHABILITATION CENTER,)))
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 3). For the following reasons, Plaintiff's Motion will be denied without prejudice.

The appointment of counsel for an indigent *pro se* plaintiff lies within the discretion of the Court, since there is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases.

Phillips v. Jasper County Jail, 437 F.3d 791, 794 (8th Cir.2006) (citation omitted); see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) ("The court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.") See also Sours v. Norris, 782 F.2d 106, 107 (8th Cir.1986) (citation omitted).

Once Plaintiff alleges a prima facie claim, the Court must determine Plaintiff's need for counsel to litigate his claim effectively. In re Lane, 801 F.2d 1040, 1043 (8th Cir.1986). The standard for appointment of counsel in a civil case is whether both Plaintiff and the Court would benefit from the assistance of counsel. Edwards v. Dwyer, 2008 WL 222511 at *1 (E.D.Mo., January 25, 2008)(citations omitted). This determination involves the consideration of several relevant criteria which include "the factual complexity of the issues, the ability of the indigent person to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, the ability of the indigent

person to present the claims, and the complexity of the legal arguments." <u>Id. See also Rayes v.</u> <u>Johnson</u>, 969 F.2d 700, 703 (8th Cir.1992); <u>Johnson v. Williams</u>, 788 F.2d 1319 (8th Cir.1986).

After reviewing Plaintiff's Complaint, the Court does not believe that either the factual or legal issues are complex. In addition, Plaintiff appears able to articulate and clearly present his position. For these reasons, the Court finds that appointment of counsel is not mandated at this time, and Plaintiff's Motion should be denied without prejudice.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel is DENIED without prejudice (ECF No. 3).

JOHN A. ROSS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

John a. Ross

Dated the 15th day of December, 2011.